arXiv:1403.1178v1 [cs.DS] 21 Feb 2014

A Polynomial Time Solution to the Clique Problem

$PawanTamta^a, B.P.Pande^b, H.S.Dhami^c$

a)Department of Mathematics, S.S.J Campus Almora,Kumaun University, Uttarakhand, India,pawantamta0@gmail.com.
b)Department of Information Technology, S.S.J Campus Almora,Kumaun University, Uttarakhand, India,bp.pande21@gmail.com.
c)Department of Mathematics, S.S.J Campus Almora,Kumaun University, Uttarakhand, India,profdhami@rediffmail.com.

Abstract

The Clique Problem has a reduction to the Maximum Flow Network Interdiction Problem. We review the reduction to evolve a polynomial time algorithm for the Clique Problem. A computer program in C language has been written to validate the easiness of the algorithm.

key words
Polynomial time algorithm, Reduction, Maximum Flow Network Interdiction Problem.

1. Introduction

A clique in an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ is a subset $V^{'} \subset V$ of vertices, each pair of which is connected by an edge in E . In other words, a clique is a complete subgraph of G. The size of a clique is the number of vertices it contains. The clique problem is the optimization problem of finding a clique of maximum size in a graph. As a decision problem, we ask simply whether a clique of a given size K exists in the graph. The maximum clique problem arose in the following real-world setting. Consider a social network, where the graph's vertices represent people, and the graph's edges represent mutual acquaintance. To find a largest subset of people who all know each other.
Although complete subgraphs have been studied for longer in mathematics, the term "clique" and the problem of algorithmically listing cliques both come from the social sciences, where complete subgraphs are used to model social cliques, groups of people who all know each other. The "clique" terminology comes from Luce & Perry (1949)[16], and the first algorithm for solving the clique problem is that of Harary & Ross (1957)[9],who were motivated by the sociological application. Since the work of Harary and Ross, many others have devised algorithms for various versions of the clique problem. In the 1970s, the complexity issue of the clique problem appeared in most of the papers. For instance the work of Tarjan & Trojanowski (1977)[23] is an early work on the worst-case complexity of the maximum clique problem. Also in the 1970s, beginning with the work of Cook (1971)[5] and Karp (1972)[14], researchers began finding mathematical justification for the perceived difficulty of the clique problem in the theory of NP-completeness and related intractability results. In the 1990s,

a breakthrough series of papers beginning with Feige et al. (1991)[8] reported at the time in major newspapers, showed that it is not even possible to approximate the problem accurately and efficiently. The simplest nontrivial case of the clique-finding problem is finding a triangle in a graph, or equivalently determining whether the graph is triangle-free. In a graph with m edges, there may be at most $O(m^{3/2})$ triangles; the worst case occurs when G is itself a clique. Therefore, algorithms for listing all triangles must take at least $O(m^{3/2})$ time in the worst case, and algorithms are known that match this time bound. For instance, Chiba & Nishizeki (1985)[4] describe an algorithm that sorts the vertices in order from highest degree to lowest and then iterates through each vertex V in the sorted list, looking for triangles that include V and do not include any previous vertex in the list. To do so the algorithm marks all neighbors of V, searches through all edges incident to a neighbor of V outputting a triangle for every edge that has two marked endpoints, and then removes the marks and deletes V from the graph. As the authors show, this algorithm runs in time $O(m^{3/2})$.

If one desires only a single triangle, or an assurance that the graph is triangle-free, faster algorithms are possible. As Itai & Rodeh (1978)[10] observe, the graph contains a triangle if and only if its adjacency matrix and the square of the adjacency matrix contain nonzero entries in the same cell; therefore, fast matrix multiplication techniques such as the Coppersmith-Winograd algorithm[6] can be applied to find triangles in time $O(n^{2.376})$, which may be faster than $O(m^{3/2})$ for sufficiently dense graphs. Alon, Yuster & Zwick (1994)[1] have improved the $O(m^{3/2})$ algorithm for finding triangles to $O(m^{1.41})$ by using fast matrix multiplication. This idea of using fast matrix multiplication to find triangles has also been extended to problems of finding k-cliques for larger values of k. By a result of Moon & Moser (1965)[18], any n-vertex graph has at most $3n/3$ maximal cliques. The Bron-Kerbosch algorithm is a recursive backtracking procedure of Bron & Kerbosch (1973)[2] that augments a candidate clique by considering one vertex at a time, either adding it to the candidate clique or to a set of excluded vertices that cannot be in the clique but must have some non-neighbor in the eventual clique; variants of this algorithm can be shown to have worst-case running time $O(3^{n/3})$. Therefore, this provides a worst-case-optimal solution to the problem of listing all maximal independent sets; further, the Bron-Kerbosch algorithm has been widely reported as being faster in practice than its alternatives.

As Tsukiyama et al. (1977)[26] showed, it is also possible to list all maximal cliques in a graph in an amount of time that is polynomial per generated clique. An algorithm such as theirs in which the running time depends on the output size is known as an output-sensitive algorithm. On the basis of this principle, they show that all maximal cliques in G may be generated in time $O(mn)$ per clique, where m is the number of edges in G and n is the number of vertices; Chiba & Nishizeki (1985)[4] improve this to $O(ma)$ per clique, where a is the arboricity of the given graph. Makino & Uno (2004)[17] provide an alternative output-sensitive algorithm based on fast matrix multiplication, and Johnson & Yannakakis (1988)[12] show that it is even possible to list all maximal cliques

in lexicographic order with polynomial delay per clique, although the reverse of this order is NP-hard to generate. It is possible to find the maximum clique, or the clique number, of an arbitrary n-vertex graph in time $O(3^{n/3}) = O(1.4422^n)$ by using one of the algorithms described above to list all maximal cliques in the graph and returning the largest one. However, for this variant of the clique problem better worst-case time bounds are possible. The algorithm of Tarjan & Trojanowski (1977)[23] solves this problem in time $O(2^{n/3}) = O(1.2599^n)$; it is a recursive backtracking scheme similar to that of the Bron-Kerbosch algorithm, but is able to eliminate some recursive calls when it can be shown that some other combination of vertices not used in the call is guaranteed to lead to a solution at least as good. Jian (1986) [11] improved this to $O(2^{0.304n})$ $= O(1.2346^n)$. Robson (1986)[22] improved this to $O(2^{0.276n}) = O(1.2108^n)$ time at the expense of greater space usage, by a similar backtracking scheme with a more complicated case analysis, together with a dynamic programming technique in which the optimal solution is precomputed for all small connected subgraphs of the complement graph and these partials solutions are used to shortcut the backtracking recursion. The fastest algorithm known today is due to Robson (2001) which runs in time $O(2^{0.249n}) = O(1.1888^n)$[13]. Although this brute-force search can be improved by more efficient algorithms, all of these algorithms take exponential time to solve the problem. Much of the recent work includes branch and bound, local search and greedy algorithms [20, 19, 7, 25, 24, 15, 3]. Therefore, much of the theory about the clique problem is devoted to identifying special types of graph that admit more efficient algorithms, or to establishing the computational difficulty of the general problem in various models of computation.

In the present work we propose a simple algorithm to solve the clique problem in polynomial time. Our algorithm is based on the reduction of the Clique Problem to the Maximum Flow Network Interdiction Problem (MFNIP). MFNIP is also known as NP-complete problem. The maximum flow network interdiction problem (MFNIP) takes place on a network with a designated source node and a sink node. The objective is to choose a subset of arcs to delete, without exceeding the budget that minimizes the maximum flow that can be routed through the network induced on the remaining arcs. The special case of MFNIP when the interdiction cost of every arc is same is known as the Cardinality Maximum Flow Network Interdiction Problem (CMFNIP) [21]. Wood [21] reduced the Clique Problem to a simpler form of CMFNIP to proved CMFNIP strongly NP-complete problem. We name this simpler form of CMFNIP, P-CMFNIP. In this paper we solve P-CMFNIP in polynomial time. Clique problem has a reduction to P-CMFNIP. Therefore we get a polynomial time solution to the clique problem also. In section 2 we review the reduction of the clique problem to P-CMFNIP. In section 3 we observe the simplicity of P-CMFNIP to solve it in polynomial time. In section 4 we propose a polynomial time algorithm to solve P-CMFNIP. In section 5 we propose a polynomial time solution to the Clique Problem (decision). In section 6 we propose a polynomial time solution to the Maximum Clique Problem (Optimization). Section 7 is about conclusion.

2-Reduction of the Clique Problem

In this section we review the reduction used by Wood [21] in section 3. The



figure 2.1

clique problem (decision) [21] is given as; given an undirected graph $H = (V, E)$ and a positive constant K , does there exists a subgraph of H (complete graph) which is a clique on K vertices? Here V is the set of nodes and E is the set of arcs. Clique of size K is a complete subgraph of H on K vertices i.e. $K \subset V$ such that every two nodes in it are connected by some arc in E. For a given undirected graph $H = (V, E)$ the reduction given by Wood[21] is as follows figure 2.1
Wood[21] reduced the undirected graph (figure 2.1) to the capacitated network (figure 3.1). The capacitated network consists four node sets say V_1, V_2, V_3 and V_4. For each arc in E (figure 2.1) a node is constructed in node set V_2 (figure 3.1). For each node in V (figure 2.1) a node is constructed in node set V_3 (figure 3.1). Node set V_1 consists a single node known as source node s. Similarly node ser V_4 consists a single node known as sink node t. Every node in V_3 is connected to exactly two nodes in V_4 (the idea is that one arc connects exactly two nodes). Every node in V_2 is connected to source node s and every node in V_3 is connected to sink node t. The interdiction cost of every arc is 1. The arc capacity of every arc connecting source node to V_2 has capacity 2, every arc connecting V_2 to V_3 has capacity 1, and every arc connecting V_3 to sink node t has capacity 1. Wood [21] proved $Lemma1$ and $Lemma2$ in section 3 [16] to show that figure 2.1 contains a clique of size K if and only if the interdiction of $R = |E| - C_2^K$ arcs connecting source node s to V_1 yields the maximum flow of K units in the remaining network. Clique problem is NP-complete [14]. P-CMFNIP is the simple version of MFNIP having reduction from the clique problem. Therefore based on this reduction Wood [21] proved that MFNIP is a strongly NP-complete problem. In next section we observe this capacitated network, which is a simpler form of CMFNIP, to devise an algorithm.

3- The Simplicity of P-CMFNIP

In this section we define a simpler interdiction problem named as P-CMFNIP (figure 3.1)
We impose restrictions on MFNIP to make it a simple problem named as P-CMFNIP.
Let's consider a directed capacitated network (figure 3.1). It has only four node sets say V_1, V_2, V_3 and V_4. The nodes of any node set are connected to next



figure 3.1

node set only. Therefore there are arcs connecting nodes from V_1 to V_2, V_2 to V_3 and V_3 to V_4 only. We have no arcs connecting any other combination of the node sets. V_1 consists of a single node known as source node s. Similarly V_4 consists of a single node known as the sink node t. The interdiction cost of every arc is 1. The capacity of every arc connecting V_1 to V_2 is 2 units. The capacity of each of the remaining arc is 1 unit. Given the interdiction budget R we are required to interdict arcs connecting V_1 and V_2 only so that the flow induced in the remaining network is minimum. Furthermore the interdiction budget R can assume the positive integer values only.
We observe further that the maximum flow in the network is equal to the number of vertices in V_3 in figure 3.1 (Referred to lemma 1 in section 3 by Wood [21]) Suppose we are required to interdict arcs connecting source node to V_2 only. A unit flow can be reduced by interdicting a node from V_3. Any node from V_3 can be interdicted by interdicting all arcs incident on it which in turn can be interdicted by interdicting respective nodes form V_2. Interdiction of any node from V_2 is equivalent to the interdiction of arc connecting that node to the source node. Now we state the problem as under
Given the interdiction budget R we are required to interdict arcs connecting source node to V_2 so that the flow in the remaining network is minimum. It has already been mentioned that the interdiction cost of every arc connecting source node to V_2 is 1.
Therefore the problem can be presented as the problem of interdicting nodes from V_3. Each node having flow of 1 unit and the interdiction cost of any node from V_3 is equal to the incoming degree of that node (figure 3.1). For instance in figure 3.1 we have four nodes in V_3 and their respective interdiction costs are $2, 3, 3$ and 2. Contribution of every node in V_3 to the flow in the network (figure 3.1) is 1 unit. Therefore the problem is further simplified as the problem of removing the maximum number of objects within the given budget where each object has a cost of removal. But it is further observed in figure 3.1 that the individual interdiction costs of $node1$ and $node2$ are 2 and 3 respectively, but their simultaneous interdiction cost is 4 instead of 5. This is because node a in V_2 is connected to both $node1$ and $node2$ in V_3. It is evident from the reduction mentioned in section 2 that any pair of node in V_3 can have at most one node common in V_2 (The idea is that one arc is connected by two nodes exactly). Therefore out of the given objects we will have some pairs having interdiction cost $c_1 + c_2 - 1$ in place of $c_1 + c_2$, where c_1 and c_2 are the individual costs of

the objects.

4-Polynomial time algorithm for P-CMFNIP

We devise the algorithm by creating two sets namely S and T. The set T contains all objects associated with their interdiction cost. The set S contains all possible pairs of objects associated with their simultaneous interdiction cost. We pick the element from S having least interdiction cost. We remove that element from S if its interdiction cost is within the given interdiction budget R. Same objects are removed from set T also. The sets S and T are updated by deleting these elements. The interdiction budget R is also updated by subtracting the interdiction cost of the objects removed. If it's not possible to remove any element from S we remove an element from T and update T, S and R as earlier. By this procedure we remove as many elements as possible from S and T within the given budget. We stop as soon as no further removal of elements is possible. The number of elements remained in the set T finally give the flow in the network after interdiction.
The interdiction cost of any object a is given by c_a and the element appears in set T as a/c_a. Similarly the simultaneous interdiction cost of two objects a and b is given by $c_a + c_b - 1$ and the element appears in S as $a + b/c_a + c_b - 1$.
For given interdiction budget R the steps of the algorithms are given as under
Given
$T = \{a/c_a, b/c_b, c/c_c, d/c_d \cdots .\}$
$S = \{a + b/c_a + c_b - 1, b + c/c_b + c_c - 1, b + d/c_b + c_d - 1 \cdots .\}$
R
Repeat
Step1
Take minimum $x + y/c_x + c_y - 1 \in S$
If $c_x + c_y - 1 \leq R$
$S \leftarrow S - (x + y/c_x + c_y - 1)$
$T \leftarrow T - x/c_x - y/c_y$
$R \leftarrow R - c_x - c_y$
else go to step 2
Step2
Take minimum $x/c_x \in T$
If $c_x \leq R$
$T \leftarrow T - x/c_x$
$S \leftarrow S - (x + i/c_x + c_i - 1) \forall i$
$R \leftarrow R - c_x$
else go to step 3
Step3
Find out $|T|$

In set S out of n objects we can have at most C_2^n pairs which are always less than n^2. Rest of the steps involve simple operations of taking minimum, comparison and decision which are always polynomial in n. The whole procedure removes

the element of least interdiction cost first from S and then from T. Clearly the looping in step1 and step2 can not have more than $2n$ terms.

5-Polynomial time algorithm for the Clique Problem (Decision)

In this algorithm we propose a polynomial time algorithm for the clique problem namely "Poly-Clique". The decision version of the problem Clique is given as under

Given an undirected graph $H = (V, E)$ and a positive integer K. Does there exist a subgraph of H which is a clique (complete graph) on K vertices. Based on the reduction mentioned in section 2 and algorithm given in section 4 we can have a direct polynomial time algorithm for the clique problem (decision). We take all nodes in the given undirected graph $H = (V, E)$ as objects and the total degree of any node (total number of arcs connected to any node) as the interdiction cost of that node. We create a set T by taking all objects with their associated interdiction costs. We create a set S by taking pairs of all those nodes which are connected by some arc in E. In S every pair is associated with its simultaneous interdiction cost. The simultaneous interdiction cost of any pair is 1 unit less than the sum of individual interdiction costs.

Referred to $lemma2$ in section 3 by Wood[21]: the given undirected graph (figure 2.1) contains a clique of size K if and only if the reduced network (figure 3.1) has maximum flow K after interdiction, the interdiction budget R is given by $R = |E| - C_2^K$. Therefore we take the interdiction budget $R = |E| - C_2^K$. The other notations have their usual meaning as mentioned in section 4. The steps of the algorithm "Poly-Clique" are given as under:

Given

$T = \{a/c_a, b/c_b, c/c_c, d/c_d \cdots .\}$

$S = \{a + b/c_a + c_b - 1, b + c/c_b + c_c - 1, b + d/c_b + c_d - 1 \cdots .\}$

$R = |E| - C_2^K$

Repeat

Step1

Take minimum $x + y/c_x + c_y - 1 \in S$

If $c_x + c_y - 1 \leq R$

$S \leftarrow S - (x + y/c_x + c_y - 1)$

$T \leftarrow T - x/c_x - y/c_y$

$R \leftarrow R - c_x - c_y$

else go to step 2

Step2

Take minimum $x/c_x \in T$

If $c_x \leq R$

$T \leftarrow T - x/c_x$

$S \leftarrow S - (x + i/c_x + c_i - 1) \forall i$

$R \leftarrow R - c_x$

else go to step 3

Step3

Find out $|T|$

If $|T| = K$ then H contains a clique of size K otherwise not

All steps of "Poly-Clique" run in polynomial time as we have shown in section 4.

6-Polynomial time algorithm for the clique problem (optimization)

Clique problem as an optimization problem is known as the "Maximum Clique Problem". In the Maximum Clique Problem, given an undirected graph $H = (V, E)$, we have to find the clique of maximum size. Clearly a clique of size greater than $|V|$ is not possible so we solve Poly-Clique by taking $K = |V|$ here. If we get a clique of size $|V|$ then obviously it's a clique of maximum size. If we do not get a clique of size $|V|$ then we repeat the procedure by taking $K = |V| - 1$. In any undirected graph the clique of size 2 is trivial. Therefore the algorithm can have looping for $n - 2$ steps at most. Which is polynomial in n where n is the number of objects and $n = |V|$. The steps of the algorithm are given as under:

Given

$T = \{a/c_a, b/c_b, c/c_c, d/c_d \cdots .\}$

$S = \{a + b/c_a + c_b - 1, b + c/c_b + c_c - 1, b + d/c_b + c_d - 1 \cdots .\}$

$K = |V|$

$R = |E| - C_2^K$

Repeat

Step1

Take minimum $x + y/c_x + c_y - 1 \in S$

If $c_x + c_y - 1 \leq R$

$S \leftarrow S - (x + y/c_x + c_y - 1)$

$T \leftarrow T - x/c_x - y/c_y$

$R \leftarrow R - c_x - c_y$

else go to step 2

Step2

Take minimum $x/c_x \in T$

If $c_x \leq R$

$T \leftarrow T - x/c_x$

$S \leftarrow S - (x + i/c_x + c_i - 1) \forall i$

$R \leftarrow R - c_x$

else go to step 3

Step3

Find out $|T|$

if $|T| = K$ stop else take $K = N - 1$ and go to step 1

7- Conclusion

We have solved the clique problem in polynomial time. Clique problem has reduction from 3-CNF Satisfiability Problem and it has a reduction to the Vertex Cover Problem also [14]. Therefore the same algorithm applies to these two problems also.

8. References.

[1] Alon, N.; Yuster, R.; Zwick, U. (1994), "Finding and counting given length cycles", Proceedings of the 2nd European Symposium on Algorithms, Utrecht, The Netherlands, pp. 354-364.
[2] Bron, Coen; Kerbosch, Joep (1973), "Algorithm 457: finding all cliques of an undirected graph", Commun. ACM (ACM) 16 (9): 575-577, doi:10.1145/362342.362367
[3] Carmo Renato, Zuge Alexandra (2012), " Branch and bound algorithms for the maximum clique problem under a unified framework", Journal of Brazilian Computer Society 18(2): 137-151
[4] Chiba, N.; Nishizeki, T. (1985), "Arboricity and subgraph listing algorithms", SIAM Journal on Computing 14 (1): 210-223, doi:10.1137/0214017
[5] Cook, S. A. (1971), "The complexity of theorem-proving procedures", Proc. 3rd ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, pp. 151-158, doi:10.1145/800157.805047
[6] Coppersmith, Don; Winograd, Shmuel (1990), "Matrix multiplication via arithmetic progressions", Journal of Symbolic Computation 9 (3): 251, doi:10.1016/S0747-7171(08)80013-2
[7] Fahle, T. (2002), "Simple and Fast: Improving a Branch-And-Bound Algorithm for Maximum Clique", Proc. 10th European Symposium on Algorithms, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 2461, Springer-Verlag, pp. 47-86, doi:10.1007/3-540-45749-6_44
[8] Feige, U.; Goldwasser, S.; Lovsz, L.; Safra, S; Szegedy, M. (1991), "Approximating clique is almost NP-complete", Proc. 32nd IEEE Symp. on Foundations of Computer Science, pp. 2-12, doi:10.1109/SFCS.1991.185341
[9] Harary, F.; Ross, I. C. (1957), "A procedure for clique detection using the group matrix", Sociometry (American Sociological Association) 20 (3): 205-215, doi:10.2307/2785673
[10] Itai, A.; Rodeh, M. (1978), "Finding a minimum circuit in a graph", SIAM Journal on Computing 7 (4): 413-423, doi:10.1137/0207033
[11] Jian, T (1986), "An O(20.304n) Algorithm for Solving Maximum Independent Set Problem", IEEE Transactions on Computers (IEEE Computer Society) 35 (9): 847-851, doi:10.1109/TC.1986.1676847
[12] Johnson, D. S.; Yannakakis, M. (1988), "On generating all maximal independent sets", Information Processing Letters 27 (3): 119-123, doi:10.1016/0020-0190(88)90065-8
[13] J.M. Robson (2001) Finding a maximum independent set in time O(2n/4). Technical Report 1251-01, LaBRI, Universite Bordeaux I.
[14] Karp, Richard M. (1972), "Reducibility among combinatorial problems", in Miller, R. E.; Thatcher, J. W., Complexity of Computer Computations, New York: Plenum, pp. 85-103
[15] Konc & Janei? (2007) [Konc, J.; Janei?, D. (2007), "An improved branch and bound algorithm for the maximum clique problem", MATCH Communications in Mathematical and in Computer Chemistry 58 (3): 569-590
[16] Luce, R. Duncan; Perry, Albert D. (1949), "A method of matrix analysis of group structure", Psychometrika 14(2): 95-116,doi:10.1007/BF02289146
[17] Makino, K.; Uno, T. (2004), "New algorithms for enumerating all maximal cliques", Algorithm Theory: SWAT 2004, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 3111, Springer-Verlag, pp. 260-272

[18] Moon, J. W.; Moser, L. (1965), "On cliques in graphs", Israel Journal of Mathematics 3: 23-28, doi:10.1007/BF02760024
[19] stergrd, P. R. J. (2002), "A fast algorithm for the maximum clique problem", Discrete Applied Mathematics 120 (1-3): 197-207, doi:10.1016/S0166-218X(01)00290-6
[20] Pardalos, P. M.; Rogers, G. P. (1992), "A branch and bound algorithm for the maximum clique problem", Computers & Operations Research 19 (5): 363-375, doi:10.1016/0305-0548(92)90067-F
[21] R.K. Wood (19930, Deterministic network interdiction, Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 17, pp. 1-18.
[22] Robson, J. M. (1986), "Algorithms for maximum independent sets", Journal of Algorithms 7 (3): 425-440, doi:10.1016/0196-6774(86)90032-5
[23] Tarjan, R. E.; Trojanowski, A. E. (1977), "Finding a maximum independent set", SIAM Journal on Computing 6 (3): 537-546, doi:10.1137/0206038
[24] Tomita, E.; Kameda, T. (2007), "An efficient branch-and-bound algorithm for finding a maximum clique with computational experiments", Journal of Global Optimization 37 (1): 95-111, doi:10.1007/s10898-006-9039-7
[25] Tomita, E.; Seki, T. (2003), "An Efficient Branch-and-Bound Algorithm for Finding a Maximum Clique", Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 2731, Springer-Verlag, pp. 278-289, doi:10.1007/3-540-45066-1_22
[26] Tsukiyama, S.; Ide, M.; Ariyoshi, I.; Shirakawa, I. (1977), "A new algorithm for generating all the maximal independent sets", SIAM Journal on Computing 6 (3): 505-517, doi:10.1137/0206036

```
#include<malloc.h>
#include<conio.h>
#include<stdio.h>
struct t
{
        char name[2];
        int cost;
        struct t *ptr;
};
struct s
{
        char name[4];
        int cost;
        struct s *ptr;
};
typedef struct t T;
typedef struct s S;
T *temp_T, *first_T, *curr_T;
S *temp_S, *first_S, *curr_S;
int R;
char x;
void Sort_S();
void Update_S(S *);
```

```
void Update_T_2(char []);
void Update_T_1(int);
void Update_S_2();
int Min_T();
int MOD_T();
void Print_T();
void Print_S();
void main()
{
        int T_n,S_n,i, min_cost, elements;
        T *pos;
        S *next;
        clrscr();
        first_T=NULL;
        first_T=NULL;
        printf("Enter no. of elements in set T :");
        scanf("%d",&T_n);

        for(i=0;i<T_n;i++)
        {
                temp_T=(T*)malloc(sizeof(T));
                printf("\n\nEnter name of node: ");
                scanf("%s",temp_T->name);
                printf("\nEnter interdiction cost: ");
                scanf("%d",&temp_T->cost);
                temp_T->ptr=NULL;

                if(first_T==NULL)
                        first_T=curr_T=temp_T;
                else
                {
                        curr_T->ptr=temp_T;
                        curr_T=temp_T;
                }
                fflush(stdin);
        }

        printf("\n\nEnter no. of elements in set S :");
        scanf("%d",&S_n);
        for(i=0;i<S_n;i++)
        {
                temp_S=(S*)malloc(sizeof(S));
                printf("\n\nEnter name of node (in 'a+b' form): ");
                scanf("%s",temp_S->name);
                printf("\nEnter interdiction cost: ");
                scanf("%d",&temp_S->cost);
                temp_S->ptr=NULL;

                if(first_S==NULL)
                        first_S=curr_S=temp_S;
```

```
                else
                {
                        curr_S->ptr=temp_S;
                        curr_S=temp_S;
                }
                fflush(stdin);
        }

        printf("\nEnter the interdiction budget R: ");
        scanf("%d",&R);
        Sort_S();
        next=first_S;
        while(1)
        {
                if(next->cost<=R)
                {
                        Update_S(next);
                        Update_T_2(next->name);
                        R=R-next->cost;
                }
                else
                {
                        min_cost=Min_T();
                        if(min_cost<=R)
                        {
                                Update_T_1(min_cost);
                                Update_S_2();
                                R=R-min_cost;
                        }
                        else
                                break;
                }
        }
        elements=MOD_T();
        clrscr();
        printf("\n\nRESULT OF ALGORITHM");
        printf("\n********************");
        printf("\n\n|T| i.e. Maximum Flow=%d",elements);
        printf("\n\nT=");
        Print_T();
        printf("\n\nS=");
        Print_S();
        getch();
}
void Sort_S()
{
        int temp_cost,done=0;
        char temp_name[4];
        S *n;
        while(!done)
```

```
        {
                done=1;
                n=first_S;
                while(n->ptr)
                {
                        if(n->cost>n->ptr->cost)
                        {
                                done=0;
                                temp_cost=n->cost;
                                n->cost=n->ptr->cost;
                                n->ptr->cost=temp_cost;
                                strcpy(temp_name,n->name);
                                strcpy(n->name,n->ptr->name);
                                strcpy(n->ptr->name,temp_name);
                        }
                        n=n->ptr;
                }
        }
}

void Update_S(S *p)
{
        first_S=p->ptr;
}
void Update_S_2()
{
        S *temp, *prev;
        temp=prev=first_S;
        while(temp!=NULL)
        {
                if((temp->name[0]==x)||(temp->name[2]==x))
                {
                        if(temp==first_S)
                        {
                                first_S=temp->ptr;
                                temp=prev=first_S;
                        }
                        else
                        {
                                prev->ptr=temp->ptr;
                                temp=temp->ptr;
                        }
                }
                else
                {
                        if(temp==first_S)
                        {
                                prev=first_S;
                                temp=temp->ptr;
                        }
```

```
                        else
                        {
                                prev=temp;
                                temp=temp->ptr;
                        }
                }
        }
}
void Update_T_2(char s[4])
{
 T *curr,*prev;
 int f1=0, f2=0;
 curr=prev=first_T;
 while(curr!=NULL)
 {
        if(curr->name[0]==s[0])
        {
                if(first_T==curr)
                {
                        first_T=curr->ptr;
                        curr=first_T;
                        prev=curr;
                        f1=1;
                }
                else
                {
                        prev->ptr=curr->ptr;
                        curr=prev->ptr;
                        f1=1;
                }
        }
        else if(curr->name[0]==s[2])
        {
                if(first_T==curr)
                {
                        first_T=curr->ptr;
                        curr=first_T;
                        prev=curr;
                        f2=1;
                }
                else
                {
                        prev->ptr=curr->ptr;
                        curr=prev->ptr;
                        f2=1;
                }
        }
        else
        {
                prev=curr;
```

```
                curr=curr->ptr;
        }
        if(f1==1&&f2==1)
                break;
 }
}
void Update_T_1(int min)
{
        T *temp, *prev;
        temp=prev=first_T;
        while(1)
        {
                if(temp->cost==min)
                {
                        if(temp==first_T)
                        {
                                x=temp->name[0];
                                first_T=temp->ptr;
                                break;
                        }
                        else
                        {
                                x=temp->name[0];
                                prev->ptr=temp->ptr;
                                break;
                        }
                }
                else
                {
                        prev=temp;
                        temp=temp->ptr;
                }
        }
}
int Min_T()
{
        int min;
        T *temp;
        temp=first_T;
        min=temp->cost;
        while(temp!=NULL)
        {
                if(temp->cost<min)
                {
                        min=temp->cost;
                }
                temp=temp->ptr;
        }
        return min;
}
```

```
int MOD_T()
{
        T *temp;
        int count=0;
        temp=first_T;
        while(temp!=NULL)
        {
         count++;
         temp=temp->ptr;
        }
        return count;
}
void Print_T()
{
        T *temp;
        temp=first_T;
        while(temp!=NULL)
        {
                printf("%s/%d ",temp->name,temp->cost);
                temp=temp->ptr;
        }
}
void Print_S()
{
        S *temp;
        temp=first_S;
        while(temp!=NULL)
        {
                printf("%s/%d ",temp->name,temp->cost);
                temp=temp->ptr;
        }
}
```